Approved For Release 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP78-053434 00100100010-5

SURVEY OF PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION THE MED DUES THE APPLICACHES* Career Convice ChargeTive: Develop and disseminate uniform promotion oritoria. A. Does the Career Service presently have uniform presidion criteria? 1 no yes 1. If yes, how long has it had them? weeks months years (Underline one) If no, has a target date been set for completing the development of uniform promotion criteria? ves Where Career Sub-Groups are involved has the Career Service 3. reviewed the promotion criteria of these sub-groups? ves Where Career Sub-Groups are involved has the Career Service 4. determined what, if any, promotion criteria must be used without modification or exception by all sub-groups? B. Has the Career Service examined and reaffirmed the value of its promotion policies and criteria? C. Have all Career Service and (as applicable) Career Sub-Group board/panal members been given appropriate promotion policy and premotion criteria information? [] no · | ves Have all members of the Career Service been informed with respect to Carear Service and (as applicable) Carear Sub-Croup promotion policies and promotion criteria as it applies to their individual grade and occupational category?

Programme to questions should reflect the Carser Service situation as of 30 September 1974.

Approved For Rulese 2001/08/09: CIA-RDP78-05343 000100100010-5

Personnel.	lish an appropriate pads to eva	nol structure . Tuation and rec	und procedure King of professio	o _e j
1				45
A. Pas the Curee rank all prof	r Service ustablished essional personnel?	boards/panels t	o evaluate and	
		no	·	
1. If not, he establish	is the Career Service : ment of such panels?	set a target de	te for the	·.
yo		no		
	reer Service reviewed yee is properly identi , as professional, tec			ı
ye		no	•	
1	reer Service establish and clerical employees	:		
yes (te	chnical) []no (techni	cal) [] yes (cl	erical) 🗌 no (cl	lerical)
4. If not, do	es the Career Service alluation and ranking o	and industry		
yes		☐ no		
B. In terms of boat following quest	rd/panel evaluation pr ions.	rocedures, plea:	se answer the	<u></u>
 Do the eval made by sup 	uation boards/panels rervisors?	review promotion	recommendations	* .*
Lyes		no		
2. Do they mak	e promotjon recommenda	tions?		
yes		W no	•	
3. Does inadeque consideration	pate time-in-grade make on for promotion?	e an employae i	neligible for	
yes		Effno.		
4. What is the	term of office of pane	:l members?		-
	NOEFINITE No. of			in the second se
5. Is it require field of emp	ed that panel members loyees being evaluated	be of higher gr ?	eadn than the	
Lyes		li no		·
Approved For	Release 2001/08/09 : CIA-R	RDP78-05343A0001	00100010-5	

Approved For Autase 2001/08/09 : CIA-RDP78-05343A00010010010-5

	,	jb. Pow ditea are evalu	Caracia attracta foul t	
		Annually	[_] service may	r
			modified who bhair and usping officars	are?
В		Lyes	no `	ř
. *	; w	8. Do the panels use reperformance, and per	ating scales for evaluation of qualific tential?	ations
		T yes	l no	
ITT.	tha	JECTIVE: Provide the evaluation will identify employees ose in between.	luation panels with untitors ranking criss with the highest and least potential	teria and
	Α.	Has the Career Service promotion criteria) app	identified uniform ranking criteria (no licable throughout the Career Service?	t
		yes	[no	
	В.	Has the Career Service poriteria used to rank em	provided for the systematic study of th mployees to verify their validity?	ie
		- Jyes	no no	
	C.	Does the Career Service to measure employee care	have expressly stated criteria designe	d
		yes	no	
	D.	Has the Carcer Service e constitutes "the lowest	established a definition explaining wha ranking employee(s)"?	t
- r		yes	[no	
	Ε.	Has the Career Service of having the lowest ranking	established a proteduce to ensure that By will have tals fact care known to the	"those em"?
		yes	no no	
	F.	When Carcer Sub-Groups a the employee ranking tec Groups?	me involved does the Gamer Service realismique and procedure and by each of the	view he Sul-
		[]] yes	not apply	icable
ì [*] .	(illid) a c l.	LCTIVE: Provide for peri lyities and results.	odic review by the Language of evaluation	`}
	A.	Procedures have been est	ablished to give the list a report of	£
			Transcript Mental of the Modern	

		And the second second	THE MESSEL STREET, BUT STREET, SANS	MATERIAL SECTION STATE !	C 4 45 45	/08/09 : CIA		* ***	the statement street, where the		
	P.	Are the the inf	result ormatic	s repo	orted so making o	that the decisions	Deputy relativ	(or equal to his	uivalen s APP a	t) may nd PDP	uso ?
			L yo	2S			L) n	0 :			
				ish Di	irectora	ite person	nel pbj	ectives	(throu	gh NBO) ,
	APP A.	, and PI The Car	福利,其代	vice h		licit pers	onnel m	inagem4	nt obje	ctiveý	A.
			∑ y∈	**************************************			n n	0			
	В.					ojectives romulgatio		iewad by	y the D	eputy	
			ye	es .	4. 19		n	0			•
	C .	Progres Deputy				ves is mo	ni tored	pariod'	icajly:	by the	!
	:		ує	\S			n i	0	A. W.		
VI.						n and crit ceer Servi			areer m	anagem	ent of
	Α.	Special agement				establish mnel.	ed for	the eval	luation	and m	an-
vi		1] yo	:S	Ý.		no	o .	Ŷ.	٠	
	В.	Supergr	ade ran	kings	are cor	solidated	at the	Career	: Servic	e leve	٦.
			ye	!S			n	o .		. •	
	С.	In conj are pro	unction vided c	with	the PDF counsel	supergraing and p	des änd lacemen	candida t.	ite)sup	ergrad	es
	•	•	ye	ės			no	9	4		
	D.					edures fo oth withi					
			уе	S	3		[] no	ט			
ΊΪ.		ECTIVE:			ectorat	e-wide co	unselin	g progra	a: ∰	nd a v	isible
•	A.	Has a f	ormàl C	areer	Service	-wide cou	nseljng	progras	n been	instit	uted?
	В.	Has the			i ce info	rmed its	ij ne		its c	ounsel	ing
		ALOUITA	l ve	Sanggar Tal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					

		Approved For Releas	e 2001/08/09 : CIA	-RDP78-05343A0	00100100010-5	
	· C.,	Have members of the counselors they cou assistance and guid	isa go to on isn:	e been told their own initia	ernagestof the employ tive to seek job	150
		yes		no		
	D.	Are low-ranking emp	loyees receivin	ng counseling?		
	*.	yes	*	· on .	19 x 19 x 19 x 10 x 10 x 10 x 10 x 10 x	
	Ε.	Does the Career Ser of employees on a s	Vice counseling ystematic basis	program prov ?	ide for the counselin	ig ,
		yes		no		
r.	F.	Have those persons training for that r	designated as " ole?	counselors" ba	en given an y specifi	c
:		yes		no		\$ 3
	G.	Do the Career Servi	ce Sub-Groups h	ave a separate	counseling program?	
	1	yes		no		
VIII.	yra	ECTIVE: Organize log de, function, program lement the personnel	n. etc. basis. v	uhich for th≥i	r marhane will	ti di inati
	Α.	Have "groups" been of their members, would instructions of the	Organized withind d implement the	n the Carear S	ervice Wwitch for	
		yes		no		
v	Β.	Have the former officareer sub-groups?	ice-level career	r services bee	n designated as	
		yes		no	not applicable	- 5
	С.	Have any non-office sequent to the appro	level "Career S val of this obj	Sub-Groups" bediective?	en organized sub-	2
		yes		no		i K
	D.	If not, does the Car such "Sub-Groups"?	eer Service ant	dicipate the or	ganization of any	
•		yes		no		} - :
	F.	If yes, specify the	kind of Sub-Gro	up contemplate		1

$\mathbf{\hat{\chi}}_{c}$	ОВО	Approved For Release 2001/08/09: CIA-RDP78-05343A000100100010-5
	to.	atiend senior schools or courses giving consideration to how trainees will be utilized afterwards.
	the	Traineds will be utilized afterwards.
	۸.	Have Directorate-wide standards for senior schools been established?
Marie 1		yes. Ing
	Β.	Is the selection of candidates based upon the PDP?
		yes no
	C.	Are employees notified of the basis for selection?
The last long of		yes no
	D.	Are the post-training assignments of attendees to senior schools identified and made known to the employee before training is undertaken
1 (1) T		never seldom usually always.
Χ.		ECTIVE: Establish Directorate policy and standards for approving ernal full-time and part-time training
	Α.	Has the Career Service established policy and standards for approving external training?
	Ē, .	yes no
	В.	At what level is approval for such training?
		Division Office Career Service Branch
	С.	Have Career Service guidelines been promulgated?
		yes no
	D.	Is external training approval meshed with PDP?
		yes no
	E.	Do Evaluation boards/panels make training recommendations or indicate training deficiencies to supervisors?
		yes no
	and	ECTIVE: Establish minimum training standards for managerial positions: for occupational positions and groups when training is considered mificant for job performance and employee development.
	A.,	Has the Career Service initiated action prepare to developmental pro- files where appropriate (PDP)?
4		yes no
1. 1900	1. (b) "	The first the state of the stat

	elen Approved For	Release 2001/08/09	: CIA-RDP78-05343A0	000100100010-5) na { }
	yes		no		tra A
ŽŢŢ.	OBJECTIVE: Provide and rotational tour	policy to facil	itate inter-Direct	orate transfers	
	A. Has the Career are not discour	Service publiciz aged from reques	ed a policy to ens ting such assignme	ure that employees nts, if qualified?	
	yes		no .		100
	B. Has your PDP in assignments?	volved the use o	f inter-Career Ser	vice developmental	
	yes	**************************************	no		
	C. Has the Career mutually to ide	Service negotiate ntify positions (ed with other Care to be used for dev	er Services in order elopmental purposes?	
	yes		no	ar E Harris Andreas	
KIII.	OBJECTIVE: Establi honor and merit awa	sh procedures and rds.	provide guidance	for recommending	
	A. Has the Career for such recomm	Service promulgatendations?	ed amplifying gui	dalines on policy	
	ye.	S	no		
	b. Where applicable honors and aware	e does the Career ds initated by Ca	Service review re reer Sub-Groups?	ecommendations for	
	☐ ye:	5	no		
XIV.	OBJECTIVE: Provide in Personal Rank Ass	a system for the signments to effe	annual review of ct corrective reti	supergrade personne on when needed.	
	Does the Senior Pers status of supergrade	sonnel Resources e personnel annua	Board or other des 11y?	ignée review the	ř. ř
	yes		no		
Χ.V.	OBJECTIVE: Develop counseling, consider of surplus status.	procedures to har ation for recrain	ndle potential sur ning and/or reassi	plus cases, includir gnment, and notifica	ige tion
	A. Have specific promplets:	rocedures been de	veloped to identif	y potential surplus	
	[yes		no no		

	Approved For	Release 2001/08/09	CIA-RDR78-05348	4000100100010-5 0vidéd
	counseling, and	given opportunity	for retraining	and/or reassignment
	assicasible?	Marie de la lace frança estada e estada e el del Os de estada e el desenvir e en el desenvir en el Marie comme provincia de la compressión de el desenvir el desen		
			1-1-1	
	yes	Makes Care San	cn	
			*	
			e or the surply	s procedures and of
	their rights und	ler them?		STATE OF THE STATE
		13 No. 6 (1788) 15 1 1 1 14 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
		SHOOT WARRING	no	The street file but the street of
V 1	CCTIVE. Éétablic	a a uniform ariou	anca maccaduna i	within the Directorate
13 Epol (1000)	COITAL Facabita	sii a uittioim griev	ance procedure	arterim the birectorate
Ė	Does the Career	Service have a fo	rmal orievance :	procedure to handle
	non-EEO issues?		Tillar g. Farance	
	in the second second			
	yes		.no	

B.				re that the employee
	understands his	rights as a feder	al employee?	
			<u></u>	
10	yes		110	; **·
	Daga Aba Cayan	Campian and automora	nunnadius andri	wingth and provide for
С.	the pessibility	of employees seek	ing podwoce the	cipate and provide for
	the possibility	or emproyees seek	ang rearess and	orgin the court:
	yes	7	no	*.
3.37	Land Just	7.4.4.3		
D.	Has the Career S	Service promulgate	d amplifying in:	structions on its
	grievance proced			(4) - (1) - (1)
			<u></u>	
	yes	i i	no	
			• •	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
the control to be	81,128.0			
PROBLEM	ARLAS	es transfer of V		
n.	accomba any obeta	clos vou hava one	ountered in imp	lowantana the year
	escrine any obsiderant	icles you have end	ouncered in the	remending the new
P.	craomer approact	,		
				7 1
	,		4	
	•		4	
			i i	
ROTES			· ;	
				,
			ang, as desired	, any ånswer relating
Ċ:	o the <mark>16 objectiv</mark>	cs.	•	·.
		*	•	